

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/975,797	10/11/2001	Michael L. Walker	194-15337CIP	9540
24923	7590 02/11/2004		EXAMINER	
PAUL S M	IADAN		TUCKER,	PHILIP C
MADAN M	OSSMAN & SRIRAM, PC		<u> </u>	1,
	JSTA, SUITE 700		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
HOUSTON	HOUSTON, TX 77057-1130			
			D. TE. M. H. ED. 00/11/000	

DATE MAILED: 02/11/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			me
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	Ť
	09/975,797	WALKER, MICHAEL L.	1
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Philip C Tucker	1712	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet v	with the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a ply within the statutory minimum of th d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC te, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	n.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 1 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal ma		S .
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1,3-5,10-13,15-17 and 22-24</u> is/are page 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1,3-5,10-13,15-17 and 22-24</u> is/are page 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration. rejected.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to educate drawing(s) be held in abeyone tion is required if the drawing.	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in ority documents have bee au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	
	*		

Art Unit: 1712

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The amendment filed 11/12/03 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132 because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132 states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: the addition of the compound hexamethylenetetramine.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 3. Claims 1, 3-5, 10-13, 15-17 and 22-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The addition of hexamethylenetetramine to the claims adds new matter, since such compound was not described in the specification at the time of filing.

Art Unit: 1712

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1, 3, 10 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Atkinson (5846450).

Atkinson teaches a brine which comprises formates, such as potassium formate, and can further comprise water, ammonia and corrosion inhibitors, such as triazoles (see claims 4-8). The levels as high as 70% potassium formate would result in brines within the density level of greater than 11 lbs/gal. Atkinson teaches that a combination of water and ammonia may be used as a solvent (claim 5). Atkinson differs from the present invention in that a combination of water and ammonia as solvent is not disclosed in an example, and a specific example of using a triazole is not disclosed. However, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to vary the amount of ammonia and water as a combination solvent, including within the concentration ranges of the present invention, in the invention of Atkinson, given the teaching of Atkinson that such combinations may be used as solvents, and may be used to provide specific refrigerant vapor (column 3, lines 42-47). The use of Triazoles as corrosion inhibitors in the brine of Atkinson, would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, given the teaching of Atkinson that such triazoles are useful for corrosion inhibition in the brine

Art Unit: 1712

(column 3, lines 48-52). Such triazoles would be encompassed by the teaching of azoles by the claims.

- 6. Applicants amendment has overcome the rejections over Thomas, Mishra and Beazley since the claims no longer encompass hydroxyamines. Applicants arguments with respect to Atkinson are not deemed fully persuasive. Applicant has argued that the term "by absorbing acid" distinguishes over Atkinson, since Atkinson does not teach that the ammonia or triazole performs such absorption. While such may distinguish over the method claims, with respect to the method claims, the courts have held that the discovery of a new property of a compound or composition rendered obvious by the prior art, is not by itself a patentable distinction (In re Dillon 16 USPQ2d 1897). The absorbing of the acid is a function of the composition, and not part of the claimed composition, thus this property does not distinguish over the composition claims. Although the term hexamethylenetetramine was intended to be used, since such not disclosed in the original specification, this adds new matter to the application.
- 7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Art Unit: 1712

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Philip C Tucker whose telephone number is 571-272-1095. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, Flexible schedule.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on 571-272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Philip C Tucker

Art Unit: 1712

Primary Examiner Art Unit 1712

PCT-2951